

Things we saw yesterday

- ▶ A quantum field is (distributional) map from a spacetime (a globally hyperbolic manifold) into a local field algebra

$$\mathcal{M} \ni x \rightarrow \phi(x)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \ni f \rightarrow \int \phi(x) f(x) dx$$

- ▶ The (Heisenberg) algebraic structure is provided by the commutator: a distribution that vanishes at spacelike separated pairs (x, y)

$$C(x, y) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)] = -i\hbar E(x, y)$$

Things we saw yesterday

Let (M, g) a globally hyperbolic manifold. In the space of complex solutions of the KG equation $\square_g \phi + V(x)\phi = 0$, introduce the invariant Peierls aka KG inner product

$$(f, g) = -i \int_{\Sigma_t} \bar{f} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\mu g d\sigma^\mu$$

Find a “complete” basis $\{u_i\}$ so that

$$(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j) = -\delta_{ij}, \quad (u_i, \bar{u}_j) = 0$$

The commutator admits the following expansion

$$C(x, y) = \sum_i (u_i(x)\bar{u}_i(y) - u_i(y)\bar{u}_i(x))$$

It is basis independent (uniqueness)

Things we saw yesterday

- ▶ Quantizing is representing the commutation rules in a Hilbert space

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(x) \in Op(\mathcal{H})$$

$$[\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}(y)] = C(x, y)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$$

- ▶ Realized by finding any positive two-point functions that solves the functional relation

$$W(x, y) - W(y, x) = C(x, y)$$

- ▶ $W(x, y)$ Interpreted as the VEV of the quantum field

$$W(x, y) = \langle \Psi_0, \hat{\phi}(x)\hat{\phi}(y)\Psi_0 \rangle$$

Things we saw yesterday

- ▶ Saw a family of inequivalent quantizations parametrized by the temperature $1/\beta$:

$$\langle \Psi_0, \hat{\phi}_\beta(x) \hat{\phi}_\beta(y) \Psi_0 \rangle_\beta = W_\beta(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{-ip^0(t-s) + ip(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \left[\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta p^0}} \right] \epsilon(p^0) \delta(p^2 - m^2) dp$$

- ▶ All these fields solve the same Klein-Gordon QFT

$$\square \hat{\phi}_\beta(x) + m^2 \hat{\phi}_\beta(x) = 0$$

- ▶ All these fields have the same commutation rules

$$W_\beta(x, y) - W_\beta(y, x) = C(x, y)$$

Bogoliubov Transformations

KG fields: standard construction

Let (M, g) a globally hyperbolic manifold. Consider the KG equation

$$\square_g \phi + V(x)\phi = 0. \quad (f, g) = -i \int_{\Sigma_t} \bar{f} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\mu g d\sigma^\mu$$

Find a basis $\{u_i\}$ so that $(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $(\bar{u}_i, \bar{u}_j) = -\delta_{ij}$, $(u_i, \bar{u}_j) = 0$

Write the unequal time commutator

$$C(x, y) = \sum [u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)} - u_i(y) \overline{u_i(x)}]$$

If there are no infrared divergences the two point functions

$$W(x, y) = \sum u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)} \quad W(y, x) = \sum u_i(y) \overline{u_i(x)}$$

trivially solve the split functional relation $C(x, y) = W(x, y) - W(y, x)$ and defines a pure state on the field algebra (an irreducible representation).

$$\int \bar{f}(x) W(x, y) f(y) = \sum \left| \int \bar{f}(x) u_i(x) \right|^2 \geq 0$$

Bogoliubov transformation of the fields

- Can write the field expansion

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \left(u_i(x) a_i + u_i^*(x) a_i^\dagger \right)$$

The pure state $W(x, y) = \sum u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)}$

Can be seen as the expectation value of the field represented in the vacuum of the annihilation operators a 's

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \sum_i \left(u_i(x) \hat{a}_i + u_i^*(x) \hat{a}_i^\dagger \right) \quad \hat{a}_i | \Psi_a \rangle = 0$$

Example (again)

$$W(x, y) = \langle \Psi_0, \phi(x)\phi(0)\Psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{-ip(x)} \theta(p^0) \delta(p^2 - m^2) d^4 p$$

$$W(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0) + i\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{2\omega}$$

$$= \int \frac{e^{-i\omega x^0 + i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}} \frac{e^{i\omega y^0 - i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{y}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}} d^3 \mathbf{p} = \sum u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)}$$

Bogoliubov transformations of the modes

Consider two complete sets of modes

$$\{u_i, i \in A\} \qquad \{u'_j, j \in B\}$$

that diagonalize the commutator and suppose that we can write

$$u'_i = \alpha_{ij} u_j + \beta_{ij} u_j^*$$

$$u_i'^* = \alpha_{ij}^* u_j^* + \beta_{ij}^* u_j$$

Bogoliubov transformation of the fields

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \left(u_i(x) a_i + u_i^*(x) a_i^\dagger \right)$$

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \left(u'_i(x) a'_i + u'^*_i(x) a'^{\dagger}_i \right)$$

These expressions are perfectly equivalent at the algebraic level because they yield the same commutator.

Quantization: pure states

- Choose the corresponding Fock vacua (both are pure states)

$$W(x, y) = \langle \Psi_a | \phi(x) \phi(y) | \Psi_a \rangle = \sum u_i(x) u_i(y)$$

$$W_b(x, y) = \langle \Psi_b | \phi(x) \phi(y) | \Psi_b \rangle = \sum u'_i(x) u'_i(y)$$

- **Bogoliubov transformations are a tool to generate infinitely many pure states from a given one**
- **Are they equivalent?**

The Klein-Gordon normalization reads

$$\begin{aligned}(u'_i, u'_j) &= (\alpha_{ik}u_k + \beta_{ik}u_k^*, \alpha_{jl}u_l + \beta_{jl}u_l^*) \\ &= \alpha_{ik}^* \alpha_{jk} - \beta_{ik}^* \beta_{jk} = \delta_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(u_i'^*, u_j') &= (\alpha_{ik}^*u_k^* + \beta_{ik}^*u_k, \alpha_{jl}u_l + \beta_{jl}u_l^*) \\ &= \beta_{ik} \alpha_{jk} - \alpha_{ik} \beta_{jk} = 0\end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ &= \mathbb{I} & \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T &= 0 \\ \alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T &= \mathbb{I} & \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ &= \mathbb{I} & \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T &= \mathbf{0} \\ \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ &= 0 & \alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T &= \mathbb{I} \end{aligned}$$

The previous relations are condensed as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & -\beta^T \\ -\beta^+ & \alpha^T \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{I}$$

Uniqueness of the inverse provides two more relations (and their complex conjugates):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & -\beta^T \\ -\beta^+ & \alpha^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^+ \alpha - \beta^T \beta^* = \mathbb{I} \qquad \alpha^+ \beta - \beta^T \alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T \beta^* - \beta^+ \alpha = 0 \qquad \alpha^T \alpha^* - \beta^+ \beta = \mathbb{I}$$

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I} \qquad \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I} \qquad \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I} \qquad \alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I} \qquad \alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & -\beta^T \\ -\beta^+ & \alpha^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{I}$$

In matrix form the Bogoliubov transformation reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ u'^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u^* \end{pmatrix}$$

The inverse transform is therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & -\beta^T \\ -\beta^+ & \alpha^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ u'^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Inverse transform

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ & -\beta^T \\ -\beta^+ & \alpha^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ u'^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u_i = (\alpha^+)_{ij} u'_j - (\beta^T)_{ij} u'^*_j$$

$$u_i^* = -(\beta^+)_{ij} u'_j + (\alpha^T)_{ij} u'^*_j$$

Bogoliubov transformation of the fields

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \left(u_i(x) a_i + u_i^*(x) a_i^\dagger \right)$$

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \left(u'_i(x) a'_i + u'^*_i(x) a'^{\dagger}_i \right)$$

These expressions are perfectly equivalent at the algebraic level because they yield the same commutator.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) &= \sum_i \left(u_i(x) a_i + u_i^*(x) a_i^+ \right) \\
&= \sum_{ij} \left((\alpha^+)_{ij} u'_j - (\beta^T)_{ij} u'^{*}_j \right) a_i + \sum_{ij} \left(-(\beta^+)_{ij} u'_j + (\alpha^T)_{ij} u'^{*}_j \right) a_i^+ \\
&= \sum_{ij} \left(\alpha_{ji}^* a_i - \beta_{ji}^* a_i^+ \right) u'_j + \sum_{ij} \left(-\beta_{ji} a_i + \alpha_{ji} a_i^+ \right) u'^{*}_j
\end{aligned}$$

$$a'_j = \sum_i \left(\alpha_{ji}^* a_i - \beta_{ji}^* a_i^+ \right)$$

$$a'^{+}_j = \sum_i \left(-\beta_{ji} a_i + \alpha_{ji} a_i^+ \right)$$

One important point

- In matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ a'^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* & -\beta^* \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

- The question now is: is the above transformation unitarily implementable?
- If YES the corresponding Fock representations are physically and mathematically equivalent.
- If NOT they are inequivalent and have distinct physical interpretations

The unitary implementer

\mathcal{H} = Fock space of the operators a , $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

\mathcal{H}' = Fock space of the operators a' , $|\Psi'\rangle \in \mathcal{H}'$

We need a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$U^\dagger a U = a' \quad U^\dagger a^\dagger U = a'^\dagger$$

$$a U = U a' = U(\alpha^* a - \beta^* a^\dagger)$$

$$a^\dagger U = U a'^\dagger = U(\alpha a^\dagger - \beta a)$$

Fock states

Consider a pair of canonical operators and the corresponding Fock vacuum and Fock basis

$$[a, a^+] = 1$$

$$a|0\rangle = 0$$

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a^+)^n |0\rangle$$

$$\langle n|m\rangle = \delta_{n,m}$$

Completeness of the basis $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n| = \mathbb{I}$

Coherent states

$$\begin{aligned} |z\rangle &= \exp(za^+) |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \frac{z^n}{n!} (a^+)^n |0\rangle = \sum_n \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Coherent states are eigenstates of the destruction operator

$$a|z\rangle = z|z\rangle$$

BCH formula: $e^X e^Y = e^{X+Y+\frac{1}{2}[X,Y]}$

$$e^{za^+} e^{ua} = e^{ua+za^+ - \frac{1}{2}uz}$$

$$e^{ua} e^{za^+} = e^{ua+za^+ + \frac{1}{2}uz}$$

$$e^{ua} e^{za^+} = e^{uz} e^{za^+} e^{ua}$$

Applying this formula to the vacuum

$$\exp(ua)|z\rangle = \exp(uz)|z\rangle$$

In general for an holomorphic function

$$f(a)|z\rangle = f(z)|z\rangle \quad \langle z|f(a^+) = f(z^*)\langle z|$$

$$\langle z|z'\rangle = \langle 0|e^{z^*a} e^{z'a^+} |0\rangle = e^{z^*z'} \langle 0|e^{z'a^+} e^{z^*a} |0\rangle =$$

$$= e^{z^*z'} \langle 0|0\rangle = e^{z^*z'}$$

As for creation operators to the right

$$a^+ |z\rangle = a^+ e^{za^+} |0\rangle = \partial_z e^{za^+} |0\rangle = \partial_z |z\rangle$$

Similarly for destruction operators to the left

$$\langle z|a = \langle 0|e^{z^*a} a = \partial_{z^*} \langle 0|e^{z^*a} = \partial_{z^*} \langle z|$$

Resolution of the identity

- Bargmann measure

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{e^{-|z|^2} dz^* \wedge dz}{2\pi i} = \frac{e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx \wedge dy}{\pi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu(z) |z\rangle\langle z| &= \\ &= \sum_{n,m} \frac{|n\rangle\langle m|}{\sqrt{n!m!}} \int \frac{r e^{-r^2} dr}{\pi} r^{n+m} \int e^{i(n-m)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \sum_n \frac{|n\rangle\langle n|}{n!} \int_0^\infty 2r^{2n+1} e^{-r^2} dr = \sum_n |n\rangle\langle n| = \mathbb{I} \end{aligned}$$

The unitary implementer (continued)

$$\langle t|a U|z\rangle = \langle t|U(\alpha^* a - \beta^* a^\dagger)|z\rangle$$

$$\langle t|a^\dagger U|z\rangle = \langle t|U(\alpha a^\dagger - \beta a)|z\rangle$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{t^*} \langle t|U|z\rangle = \alpha^* z \langle t|U|z\rangle - \beta^* \partial_z \langle t|U|z\rangle \\ t^* \langle t|U|z\rangle = \alpha \partial_z \langle t|U|z\rangle - \beta z \langle t|U|z\rangle \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{t_i^*} \langle t|U|z\rangle = \alpha_{ij}^* z_j \langle t|U|z\rangle - \beta_{ij}^* \partial_{z_j} \langle t|U|z\rangle \\ t_i^* \langle t|U|z\rangle = \alpha_{ij} \partial_{z_j} \langle t|U|z\rangle - \beta_{ij} z_j \langle t|U|z\rangle \end{array} \right.$$

Property 1

- The matrix α^+ is invertible.

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

Property 1

- The matrix α^+ is invertible. This follows from

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I}$$

which implies that

$$\langle \Psi | \alpha\alpha^+ | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \beta\beta^+ | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\| \alpha^+ \Psi \|^2 = \| \Psi \|^2 + \| \beta^+ \Psi \|^2$$

and therefore the kernel of α^+ is trivial.

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I}} \quad \alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

$$\alpha^T \alpha^* = (\alpha^\dagger \alpha)^* = \mathbb{I} + \beta^\dagger \beta$$

implies that also α^* and therefore α has a trivial kernel. The second equation

$$t^* \langle t | a U | z \rangle = \alpha \partial_z \langle t | U | z \rangle - \beta z \langle t | U | z \rangle$$

is rewritten as follows.

$$\partial_z \langle t | U | z \rangle = \alpha^{-1} t^* \langle t | U | z \rangle + \alpha^{-1} \beta z \langle t | U | z \rangle$$

$\alpha^{-1} \beta$

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

Property 2

The matrix $\alpha^{-1}\beta$ is symmetric

Since $\alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$ and α is invertible

$$\beta^T = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha^T$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha^{-1}\beta)^T &= \beta^T(\alpha^{-1})^T = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha^T(\alpha^{-1})^T \\ &= \alpha^{-1}\beta\end{aligned}$$

Integration

$$\partial_{t^*} \langle t|U|z \rangle = \alpha^* z \langle t|U|z \rangle - \beta^* \partial_z \langle t|U|z \rangle$$

$$\partial_z \langle t|U|z \rangle = \alpha^{-1} t^* \langle t|U|z \rangle + \alpha^{-1} \beta z \langle t|U|z \rangle$$

The second equation can now be integrated easily

$$\langle t|U|z \rangle = \exp \left(z\alpha^{-1}t^* + \frac{1}{2}z\alpha^{-1}\beta z \right) f(t^*)$$

Inserting in the first equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (z\alpha^{-1} + (\partial_{t^*} f)/f) \langle t|U|z \rangle = \\ & = (\alpha^* z - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} t^* - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} \beta z) \langle t|U|z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z\alpha^{-1} + (\partial_{t^*} f)/f) \langle t|U|z \rangle = \\
& = (\alpha^* z - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} t^* - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} \beta z) \langle t|U|z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We get one equation $\partial_{t^*} f = -\beta^* \alpha^{-1} t^* f$
promptly integrated

$$f = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^* \beta^* \alpha^{-1} t^*\right)$$

and a compatibility condition

$$(\alpha^{-1})^T = \alpha^* - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} \beta$$

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^T \beta^* - \beta^+ \alpha = 0} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \beta^+ = \alpha^T \beta^* \alpha^{-1}$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^T \alpha^* - \beta^+ \beta = \mathbb{I}}$$

$$\alpha^T \alpha^* - \alpha^T \beta^* \alpha^{-1} \beta = \mathbb{I}$$

and the compatibility condition follows

$$(\alpha^{-1})^T = \alpha^* - \beta^* \alpha^{-1} \beta$$

All in all

$$\langle t|U|z\rangle = C \exp\left(z\alpha^{-1}t^* + \frac{1}{2}z\alpha^{-1}\beta z - \frac{1}{2}t^*\beta^*\alpha^{-1}t^*\right)$$

The overall normalization has to be determined yet.

Now note that

$$\langle t| : F(a, a^+) : |z\rangle = F(z, t^*) \langle t|z\rangle$$

Example

$$\langle t| : aa^+ : |z\rangle = \langle t|a^+ a|z\rangle = t^* z \langle t|z\rangle$$

$$U = C : \exp\left(a(\alpha^{-1} - 1)a^+ + \frac{1}{2}a\alpha^{-1}\beta a - \frac{1}{2}a^+\beta^*\alpha^{-1}a^+\right) :$$

The normalization

$$U = C : \exp \left(a(\alpha^{-1} - 1)a^+ + \frac{1}{2}a\alpha^{-1}\beta a - \frac{1}{2}a^+\beta^*\alpha^{-1}a^+ \right) :$$

$$\langle 0|UU^+|0\rangle = 1 =$$

$$= C^2 \langle 0| \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}a(\alpha^{-1}\beta)a \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}a^+(\alpha^{-1}\beta)^*a^+ \right) |0\rangle$$

Technical interlude

Let $M = M^T$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \langle 0 | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a M a \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a^+ M^* a^+ \right) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int d\mu(z) \langle 0 | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a M a \right) | z \rangle \langle z | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a^+ M^* a^+ \right) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{dz^* \wedge dz}{2\pi i} e^{-zz^* + \frac{1}{2} z M z + \frac{1}{2} z^* M^* z^*} \\ &= \int \frac{dz^* \wedge dz}{2\pi i} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} z & z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -M & 1 \\ 1 & -M^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z^* \end{pmatrix} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Technical interlude

Let $M = M^T$

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \frac{dz^* \wedge dz}{2\pi i} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} z & z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -M & 1 \\ 1 & -M^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z^* \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & z^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -M & 1 \\ 1 & -M^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - M - M^* & -i(M - M^*) \\ -i(M - M^*) & 2 + M + M^* \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathcal{M}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \frac{dxdy}{\pi} \exp \left[- \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right] = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\det \mathcal{M}}}$$

Technical interlude 2

Let $M = M^T$

$$\det \left[\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -M & 1 \\ 1 & -M^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \right] = -\det \begin{pmatrix} -M & 1 \\ 1 & -M^* \end{pmatrix}$$

A theorem of linear algebra says that when C and D commute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(AD - BC)$$

$$\det \mathcal{M} = \det(1 - MM^*)$$

$$\langle 0 | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a M a \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a^+ M^* a^+ \right) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(1 - MM^*)}}$$

The normalization

$$\langle 0 | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a (\alpha^{-1} \beta) a \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a^+ (\alpha^{-1} \beta)^* a^+ \right) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(1 - \alpha^{-1} \beta (\alpha^{-1} \beta)^*)}}$$

Summary

$$\alpha\alpha^+ - \beta\beta^+ = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha\beta^T - \beta\alpha^T = 0$$

$$\alpha^*\alpha^T - \beta^*\beta^T = \mathbb{I} \quad \alpha^*\beta^+ - \beta^*\alpha^+ = 0$$

$$\alpha^+\alpha - \beta^T\beta^* = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^+\beta - \beta^T\alpha^* = 0$$

$$\alpha^T\alpha^* - \beta^+\beta = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\alpha^T\beta^* - \beta^+\alpha = 0$$

The normalization

$$\langle 0 | \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a (\alpha^{-1} \beta) a \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} a^+ (\alpha^{-1} \beta)^* a^+ \right) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(1 - \alpha^{-1} \beta (\alpha^{-1} \beta)^*)}}$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^+ \beta - \beta^T \alpha^* = 0} \quad \beta^T = \alpha^+ \beta (\alpha^*)^{-1}$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^+ \alpha - \beta^T \beta^* = \mathbb{I}} \quad \alpha^+ \alpha - \alpha^+ \beta (\alpha^*)^{-1} \beta^* = \mathbb{I}$$

$$\mathbb{I} - \alpha^{-1} \beta (\alpha^*)^{-1} \beta^* = (\alpha^+ \alpha)^{-1}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(1 - \alpha^{-1} \beta (\alpha^{-1} \beta)^*)}} = \sqrt{\det(\alpha^+ \alpha)}$$

$$C = (\det(\alpha^+ \alpha))^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

The S Matrix

$$U = \frac{1}{(\det(\alpha^+ \alpha))^{1/4}} : \exp \left(a(\alpha^{-1} - 1)a^+ + \frac{1}{2}a\alpha^{-1}\beta a - \frac{1}{2}a^+\beta^*\alpha^{-1}a^+ \right) :$$

Other states

$$W(x, y) = \langle \Psi_0, \hat{\phi}(x)\hat{\phi}(y)\Psi_0 \rangle = \sum u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)}$$

Many other quantization can be obtained starting from the family $\{u_i\}$

Mixed states (here not the most general ones)

$$\begin{aligned} W_\gamma(x, y) &= \langle \Psi_{0,\gamma}, \hat{\phi}(x)\hat{\phi}(y)\Psi_{0,\gamma} \rangle = \\ &= \sum \cosh^2(\gamma_i) u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)} + \sinh^2(\gamma_i) \overline{u_i(x)}u_i(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_i = 0, \quad \rightarrow W_\gamma(x, y) = W(x, y)$$

Other states

$$\begin{aligned} W_\gamma(x, y) &= \langle \Psi_0, \hat{\phi}(x)\hat{\phi}(y)\Psi_0 \rangle = \\ &= \sum \cosh^2(\gamma_i) u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)} + \sum \sinh^2(\gamma_i) \overline{u_i(x)}u_i(y) \end{aligned}$$

again and again

$$\begin{aligned} C(x, y) &= W_\gamma(x, y) - W_\gamma(y, x) = \\ &= \sum \cosh^2(\gamma_i) u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)} + \sum \sinh^2(\gamma_i) \overline{u_i(x)}u_i(y) \\ &\quad - \sum \cosh^2(\gamma_i) u_i(y)\overline{u_i(x)} - \sum \sinh^2(\gamma_i) \overline{u_i(y)}u_i(x) \\ &= \sum (\cosh^2(\gamma_i) - \sinh^2(\gamma_i)) [u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)} - \overline{u_i(x)}u_i(y)] \\ &= \sum [u_i(x)\overline{u_i(y)} - \overline{u_i(x)}u_i(y)] \end{aligned}$$