

International conference on Noncommutative geometry and Physics
RIMS at Kyoto November 8–12 2010

On Moduli space of a quantum Heisenberg manifold

Hyun Ho Lee

Department of Mathematical Science
Seoul National University, Korea

hyun.lee7425@gmail.com

Nov. 09, 2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I learned this subject and problem from Sooran Kang at GPOTS 08 who provided me a generous introduction. Her approach is somewhat different with mine even if we share the set-up for the Yang-Mills problem.

Example: Non-commutative tori

Let \mathbb{T}^n be an ordinary n -torus, and let θ be a real skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Let $A = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. If we view \mathbb{T} as \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then we can define a Poisson bracket on A by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum \theta_{jk} (\partial f / \partial x_j) (\partial g / \partial x_k).$$

As the Lie-group G we will take \mathbb{T}^n , acting on itself by translation. The Fourier transform carries $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ to $S(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with convolution, and takes the Poisson bracket to the operation

$$\{\phi, \psi\} = -4\pi^2 \sum_q \phi(q) \psi(p - q) \gamma(q, p - q)$$

where γ is the skew-bilinear form on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$\gamma(p, q) = \sum \theta_{jk} p_j q_j.$$

For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ we define a skew-bicharacter σ_{\hbar} on \mathbb{Z}^n by

$$\sigma_{\hbar}(p, q) = e^{-2\pi^2 \hbar \gamma(p, q) i},$$

and then define a product $*_{\hbar}$ on $S(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ by

$$(\phi *_{\hbar} \psi)(p) = \sum_q \phi(q) \psi(p - q) \sigma_{\hbar}(q, p - q).$$

The involution $*$ is the complex conjugation for all \hbar . We define the operator norm (C^* -norm) for $S(\mathbb{T}^n)$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ by the same formula as defines $*_{\hbar}$.

Then we can verify two important properties:

- 1 For every $f \in A$ the function $\hbar \rightarrow \|f\|_{\hbar}$ is continuous (C^* -bundle condition),
- 2 For every $f, g \in A$, $\|f *_{\hbar} g - g *_{\hbar} f / i\hbar - \{f, g\}\|_{\hbar}$ converges to 0 as \hbar goes to 0 (asymptotic Dirac's condition).

Following Rieffel, we call this construction a strict deformation quantization of \mathbb{T}^n . Invariance of the action $G = \mathbb{T}^n$ on “bundles of algebras” can be verified. This is a C^* -algebraic deformation quantization of an ordinary manifold. The algebra corresponding to $\hbar = 1$ is called the non-commutative n -torus which can be regarded as a non-commutative differential manifold.

Example: Non-commutative Heisenberg manifolds

Let G be the Heisenberg Lie-group. For any non-zero integer c , we can parametrize G as \mathbb{R}^3 with the product given by

$$(x, y, z)(x', y', z') = (x + x', y + y', z + z' + cyx').$$

Let D be the discrete subgroup of G consisting of elements with integer entries. The $M_c = G/D$ is the Heisenberg manifold on which G acts on the left.

The Poisson bracket is given by skew 2-vector fields on G $(\mu\partial_1 + \nu\partial_2) \wedge \partial_3$ for some $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$, D_{\hbar} is the space of C^∞ functions ϕ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy

- (a) $\phi(x + k, y, p) = e(ckpy)\phi(x, y, z)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (b) $\sup_K \|p^k \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \phi(x, y, p)\| < \infty$ for all $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any compact set K of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

We can give product $*_{\hbar}$ and involution $*$ for each $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows;

$$(c) \quad \phi * \psi(x, y, p) = \sum_q \phi(x - \hbar(q - p)\mu, y - \hbar(q - p)\nu, q) \psi(x - \hbar q\mu, y - \hbar q\nu, p - q)$$

$$(d) \quad \phi^*(x, y, p) = \overline{\phi}(x, y, -p)$$

with the norm coming from the representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$\phi(f)(x, y, p) = \sum_q \phi(x - \hbar(q - 2p)\mu, y - \hbar(q - 2p)\nu, q) f(x, y, p - q)$$

where μ, ν are non-zero real numbers. D_{\hbar} will denote the norm completion also. The algebras D_{\hbar} then provide a strict deformation quantization of M_c .

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

Classical Yang-Mills theory is concerned with the set of connections (i.e. gauge potentials) on a vector bundle of a smooth manifold. The Yang-Mills functional YM measures the "strength" of the curvature of a connection. The Yang-Mills problem is determining the nature of the set of connections where YM attains its minimum, or more generally the nature of the set of critical points for YM.

Definition

A triple " (A, G, α) ", where G is a locally compact group, A is a C^* -algebra, is called a C^* -dynamical system if $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is continuous which means the function from G to A : $g \rightarrow \|\alpha_g(x)\|$ is continuous for each $x \in A$.

Definition (Connes)

It is said that x in A is C^∞ -vector if and only if $g \rightarrow \alpha_g(x)$ from G to the normed space is of C^∞ . Then $A^\infty = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ is of } C^\infty\}$ is norm dense in A . In this case we call A^∞ the smooth dense subalgebra of A .

Since a C^* -algebra with a smooth dense subalgebra is an analogue of a smooth manifold (recall a strict deformation quantization), finitely generated projective A^∞ -modules are the appropriate generalizations of vector bundles over the manifold.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie-algebra of (unbounded) derivations of A^∞ given by the representation δ from the Lie-algebra \mathfrak{g} of G where

$$\delta_X(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\alpha_{\exp(tX)}(a) - a) \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } a \in A^\infty.$$

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

Definition (Cones, Rieffel)

Given a finitely generated projective module Ξ^∞ , a connection on Ξ^∞ is a linear map $\nabla : \Xi^\infty \rightarrow \Xi^\infty \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\xi \in \Xi^\infty$ and $x \in A^\infty$ one has $\nabla_X(\xi \cdot x) = \nabla_X(\xi) \cdot x + \xi \cdot \delta_X(x)$.

We shall say that ∇ is compatible with the A^∞ -valued hermitian metric if and only if $\langle \nabla_X(\xi), \eta \rangle_A + \langle \xi, \nabla_X(\eta) \rangle_A = \delta_X(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \Xi^\infty$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition

Let ∇ be a connection on Ξ^∞ , the curvature of ∇ is the element Θ of $\text{End}_{A^\infty}(\Xi^\infty) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})^*$ given by

$$\Theta_\nabla(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

The classical Yang-Mills functional on a principal bundle with structure group G , base M , connection ∇ , and curvature (Yang-Mills field tensor) K is

$$\text{YM}(\nabla) = \int |K|^2 d\text{vol}_M,$$

To get an analogue of this functional, we need a bilinear form and an integration. Given alternating bilinear forms Ψ and Φ we let

$$\{\Phi, \Psi\}_E = \sum_{i < j} \Phi(Z_i, Z_j) \Psi(Z_i, Z_j)$$

where $E = \text{End}_A(\Xi)$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

We assume given a faithful trace τ on A , which is invariant under the action of \mathfrak{g} . Then τ determines a canonical faithful trace τ_E on E . Thus for compatible connections ∇ we define the Yang-Mills functional YM by

$$YM(\nabla) = -\tau_E(\{\Theta_\nabla, \Theta_\nabla\}).$$

following Connes and Rieffel.

This (non-commutative) Yang-Mills functional is invariant under the unitary group of E which will be denoted by $U(E)$ as the classical one does under the gauge groups. Thus we can define the moduli space by $\{\text{compatible connections YM attains its minimum}\}/U(E)$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

This (topological) space was motivated to give an answer about how to associate to a non-commutative algebra an ordinary manifold which would be its “manifold shadow”

Non-commutative torus case:

Since the Lie-algebra of \mathbb{T}^n is Abelian, the analysis of Yang-Mills problem in this case is not much complicated. In fact, Connes and Rieffel studied Yang-Mills for the non-commutative 2-torus and classified the moduli space for Ξ^d as $(\mathbb{T}^2)^d / \Sigma_d$ where Σ_d is the group of permutations of d objects and Ξ is a module which is not a multiple of any other module.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

Non-commutative Heisenberg manifold case:

We define non-commutative or quantum Heisenberg manifolds as $D_{\mu\nu}^c$. Now we fix one set $\{\mu, \nu\}$ and call it a quantum Heisenberg manifold. As far as I know, no one has been able to classify the moduli space for this case. However, the ground-breaking work about some critical points of Yang-Mills functional on a module $\Xi^\infty = S(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ was done by Sooran Kang in 2009. This depends on Abadie's description on a quantum Heisenberg manifold which gives (strong) Morita equivalence of $D_{\mu\nu}^c$ via Ξ^∞ and an idea of Kang introducing "multiplication type" elements in $\text{End}(\Xi^\infty)$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

After reparametrization of Heisenberg manifold by introducing a positive integer c , the Lie algebra of the (reparametrized) Heisenberg group has an orthonormal basis consisting of

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a linear map $\nabla^0 : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ as follows: For computational purposes the easiest way to define it is in terms of an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{g} .

$$(\nabla_X^0 f)(x, y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y), \quad (1)$$

$$(\nabla_Y^0 f)(x, y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) + \frac{\pi c i}{2\mu} x^2 f(x, y), \quad (2)$$

$$(\nabla_Z^0 f)(x, y) = \frac{\pi i x}{\mu} f(x, y) \quad (3)$$

for $f \in \Xi$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

The representation δ of L as a Lie algebra of derivations on $D_{\mu\nu}^c$ is given by

$$\delta_X(\phi)(x, y, p) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(x, y, p)$$

$$\delta_Y(\phi)(x, y, p) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\phi(x, y, p) + 2\pi icp(x - p\mu)\phi(x, y, p)$$

$$\delta_Z(\phi)(x, y, p) = 2\pi ip\phi(x, y, p)$$

Theorem

The linear map $\nabla^0 : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi \otimes \mathfrak{g}^$ satisfying (1), (2), (3) is a compatible connection.*

Theorem

The connection $\nabla^0 : \Xi^\infty \rightarrow \Xi^\infty \otimes \mathfrak{g}^$ satisfying (1), (2), and (3) is a minimum of the Yang-Mills functional for the quantum Heisenberg manifold D_{\hbar}^c .*

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

From the Morita equivalence, we know that $\text{End}(\Xi)$ is isomorphic to $E_{\mu\nu}^c$. Note that the multiplicative structure of $E_{\mu\nu}^c$ is given by

$$\psi_1 * \psi_2(x, y, k) = \sum_l \psi_1(x, y, l) \psi_2(x + l, y, k - l) \quad (4)$$

for $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in E_{\mu\nu}^c$.

The left action of $E_{\mu\nu}^c$ on Ξ is given by

$$(\psi \cdot f)(x, y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\psi}(x, y, k) f(x + k, y) \quad (5)$$

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

For a function $G \in C^\infty$, define a **multiplication** – **type** element \mathbf{G} in $E_{\mu\nu}^c$ by

$$\mathbf{G}(x, y, p) = G(x, y)\delta_0(p).$$

Theorem (Kang 2009)

\mathbf{G} is skew-symmetric if and only if \mathbf{G} acts on Ξ^∞ as a multiplication operator, i.e.

$$(\mathbf{G} \cdot f)(x, y) = -G(x, y)f(x, y)$$

for $f \in \Xi^\infty$.

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

Then we perturb ∇^0 by a skew-symmetric linear map whose range belongs to the set of **multiplication – type** elements, and generate a family of critical points of the Yang-Mills functional as follows.

Theorem (H. Lee)

Let $\nabla = \nabla^0 + \omega$ be a compatible connection where ω is a linear map from the Heisenberg Lie-algebra \mathfrak{g} to $E_{\mu\nu}^C$, whose range is the set of “multiplication type” skew-symmetric elements. Set $\omega(Z_i) = \mathbf{G}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ where $\mathbf{G}_i(x, y, k) = G_i(x, y)\delta_0(k)$. Then ∇ is a critical point of the Yang-Mills functional if and only if G_1 , G_2 , and G_3 satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} G_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_2 + cG_3 &= c_1 i \quad \text{for some } c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \\ \frac{\partial^2 G_3}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 G_3}{\partial y^2} &= cc_1 i\end{aligned}$$

Yang-Mills for non-commutative manifolds

Furthermore, such a critical point including ∇^0 is a minimum of the Yang-Mills functional if $c_1 = 0$. Then we can show the following theorem.

Theorem

Any two different minimum points of the type $\nabla^0 + \omega$ where ω is the linear map from \mathfrak{g} to $E_{\mu\nu}^c$, whose range is the set of “multiplication type” skew-symmetric elements, are not in the same orbit under the action of $U(E)$. In particular, the moduli space $MC(\Xi^\infty)/U(E)$ contains uncountably many points.