L_∞ extensions for the Poisson algebra of a symplectic manifold

Leonid Ryvkin

j.w. Bas Janssens and Cornelia Vizman

References

- ▶ Janssens, Ryvkin, Vizman : The L_∞-algebra of a symplectic manifold
- Janssens, Vizman : Central extensions of Lie algebras of symplectic and divergence free vector fields, 2016.
- Barnich, Fulp, Lada, Stasheff : The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory, 1998.
- Brylinski : A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, 1988.
- Loday, Vallette. Algebraic operads, 2012.
- ▶ Rogers : L_{∞} -algebras from multisymplectic geometry, 2012.

The Problem

Theorem (JV)

Let (M, ω) be symplectic. Then the *universal central extension* of the Fréchet Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M, \omega)$ of Hamiltonian vector fields is given by

 $\frac{\Omega^1(M)}{\delta\Omega^2(M)}$

where $\delta = \pm *_{\omega} d *_{\omega}$ is the Poisson homology differential.

And when we see a Lie algebra on cohomology ... we can not avoid looking for an L_{∞} algebra on the complex.

Question

Is there an according L_{∞} -algebra on $(\Omega^{\geq 1}(M), \delta)$, and if yes how does it look?



 $\Omega^{\geq 1}(M)$ $rac{\Omega^{(}M)}{\delta\Omega^{2}(M)}$ $C^{\infty}(M)$

Image credit : Anna Marklova, instagram : @sophiehardy5

L_{∞} -algebras

Example : The Observable algebra of a multisymplectic manifold

Operators in (symplectic) Poisson homology

The L_{∞} -algebra of a symplectic manifold

What is an L_{∞} -algebra?

Definition : L_{∞} -algebra

 L_i, I_i , with $\{L_i\} = L_{\bullet}$ graded vector space and $I_i : \Lambda^i L_{\bullet} \to L_{\bullet}$, $i \ge 1$ of degrees 2 - i, such that

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\\sigma\in ush(i,n-i)}} \pm l_j(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)},...,x_{\sigma(i)}),x_{\sigma(i+1)}...,x_{\sigma(n)})$$

$$\pm = (-1)^{i(j+1)} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x)$$

- generalization of differential graded Lie algebras
- Q-manifolds over a point
- graded coderivations squaring to zero
- Lie algebras up to homotopy

The transfer theorem

Transfer Theorem (LV)

Let L_{\bullet} be an L_{∞} -algebra and $f: L_{\bullet} \to R_{\bullet}$ a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. Then there is an L_{∞} -algebra structure on R_{\bullet} and an L_{∞} -morphism $F: L_{\bullet} \to R_{\bullet}$ refining f.

Theorem (BFLS)

Let $L_{\bullet} = (... \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$ be a resolution of the Lie algebra \mathcal{F} .

- A skew-symmetric *l*₂ : *L*₀ × *L*₀ → *L*₀ covering the Lie bracket on *F* can be extended to an *L*_∞-algebra structure on *L*_•.
- If l₂ is zero on boundaries, then the structure can be chosen such that l_i are non-zero only on L₀.

This still can work when the complexes are not trival cohomologically.

The multisymplectic L_{∞} -algebra

Theorem (Rogers)

Let (M, ω) be multisymplectic of degree k + 1. The following forms an L_{∞} -algebra :

This algebra has a very specific type :

- The complex L is degree-bounded in between 0 and -k + 1.
- Except for l_1 , only bracket starting in $\Lambda^i L_0$ are non-trivial.
- The equations boil down to $I_1 \circ I_{i+1} = I_i \circ \partial_{I_2}$.

Operators for the Poisson homology of a symplectic manifold

Let M, ω be symplectic of dimension 2n, then there are the following operators on the de Rham complex

$$L := \omega \wedge, \qquad \Lambda := \iota_{\pi}, \qquad H := (n - deg(\cdot)) \cdot$$

Then we have, for $\delta = [\Lambda, d]$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda, L \end{bmatrix} = H \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H, \Lambda \end{bmatrix} = 2\Lambda \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H, L \end{bmatrix} = -2L \\ \begin{bmatrix} L, d \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda, d \end{bmatrix} = \delta \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H, d \end{bmatrix} = -d \\ \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda, \delta \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} L, \delta \end{bmatrix} = d \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H, \delta \end{bmatrix} = \delta$$

Universal central extension formulas :

$$p: \frac{\Omega^{1}(M)}{\delta\Omega^{2}(M)} \to \mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M), p(\alpha) = X_{\delta\alpha}$$
$$[\alpha, \beta] := (\delta\alpha d\delta\beta)$$

First steps to the solution

- ► BFLS implies that it suffices to find maps $\tilde{l}_k : \Lambda^k C^{\infty}(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ such that $\tilde{l}_k \circ \partial_{\{\cdot,\cdot\}} = \delta \tilde{l}_{k+1}$.
- ► Very naive approach : $m_k : \bigotimes^k C^{\infty}(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)$, given by $m_k(f_1, ..., f_k) = f_1 df_2 \land ... \land df_k$
- ► Naive approach : $Alt(m_k) = \Lambda^k C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ $Alt(m_k)(f_1, ..., f_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} f_i df_1 \wedge ... \widehat{df_i} ... \wedge df_k$

Problem :

$$Alt(m_k) \circ \partial_{\{\cdot,\cdot\}} = (-\delta + \frac{1}{k}d\Lambda)Alt(m_{k+1})$$

Repairing the lower degrees

$$egin{aligned} m_2 \circ \partial_{\{\cdot,\cdot\}} &= (-\delta + rac{1}{2} d\Lambda) Alt(m_3) \ &= (-\delta - rac{1}{2} \delta L\Lambda) Alt(m_3) = \delta \circ (-id - rac{1}{2} L\Lambda) Alt(m_3). \end{aligned}$$

So we can set

$$\tilde{l}_3 = (-id - \frac{1}{2}L\Lambda)Alt(m_3).$$

We go on calculating

$$\begin{split} \tilde{l}_3 \circ \partial_{CE} &= (-id - \frac{1}{2}L\Lambda) \circ Alt(m_3) \circ \partial_{CE} \\ &= \dots = (\delta + \frac{1}{2}\delta L\Lambda - \frac{1}{6}\delta L\Lambda)Alt(m_4) = \delta(id + \frac{1}{3}L\Lambda)Alt(m_4) \end{split}$$

Hence, we can set

$$ilde{l}_4 = (\mathit{id} + rac{1}{3} L \Lambda) A lt(m_4)$$

Repairing the lower degrees (2)

• Less naive approach :
$$\tilde{l}_k = \pm (id + \frac{1}{k-1}L\Lambda)Alt(m_k)$$

However,

$$\tilde{l}_4 \circ \partial_{CE} = \delta(-id - \frac{1}{4}L\Lambda - \frac{1}{24}L^2\Lambda^2)Alt(m_5)$$
$$\tilde{l}_5 \circ \partial_{CE} = \delta(id + \frac{1}{5}L\Lambda + \frac{1}{40}L^2\Lambda^2)Alt(m_6)$$

But

$$\tilde{h}_7 = -(id + \frac{1}{6}L\Lambda + \frac{1}{60}L^2\Lambda^2 + \frac{1}{something}L^3\Lambda^3)Alt(m_7)$$

The solution

We set

$$\tilde{l}_k = (-1)^k \left(\sum_{l \ge 0} a_k^l L^l \Lambda^l\right) Alt(m_k)$$

with

$$a'_k = \frac{(k-l-1)!}{(k-1)!/!}.$$

Theorem (JRV)

The brackets $I_k = \tilde{I}_k \circ \delta_* : \Lambda^k \Omega^1(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ together with δ define an L_∞ -algebra, L_{\bullet} , such that the natural map $L_{\bullet} \to \frac{\Omega^1(M)}{\delta\Omega^2(M)}$ is an L_∞ -homomorphism. Thank you a lot for your attention !