

POISSON 2006  
TOKYO

STRICT DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION:  
THE EXAMPLE OF TORIC MANIFOLDS

Marc A. Rieffel

Quantum mechanics suggests:

Given a compact Poisson manifold  $M$ , try to deform  $A = C^\infty(M)$  into non-commutative algebras  $A_{\hbar}$ . One approach:

Strict deformation quantization:

Want a product  $\times_{\hbar}$ , an involution  ${}^*_{\hbar}$ , and a  $C^*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on vector space  $A$  so that for  $\hbar = 0$  have original algebra structure of  $A$ , and

1.  $\hbar \mapsto \bar{A}_{\hbar}$  is a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras, where  $\bar{A}_{\hbar}$  is the completion of  $A_{\hbar}$  for the norm.

2.  $\|(f \times_{\hbar} g - g \times_{\hbar} f)/\hbar - i\{f, g\}\|_{\hbar} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ .

(These express the “correspondence principle”.)

One can also apply the above definition to a non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebra equipped with a “Poisson bracket”.

We examine a simple class of examples:

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, e.g.  $A = C(M)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an action of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on  $A$ , e.g. coming from a smooth action on  $M$ . Let  $A^\infty$  be the dense  $*$ -subalgebra of smooth elements for  $\alpha$ . Let  $\partial_j$  be the derivation of  $A^\infty$  in the  $j$ -th direction for  $\alpha$ , e.g. the vector field on  $M$  in the  $j$ -th direction for  $\alpha$ .

For any given  $\theta \in M_d^{sk}(\mathbb{R})$  define a Poisson bracket on  $A^\infty$  by

$$\{a, b\} = \sum \theta_{jk} (\partial_j a) (\partial_k b).$$

We will restrict attention to actions of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .

View  $\mathbb{T}^d$  as  $(\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , and define  $e(r) = \exp(2\pi ir)$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  set

$$A_n = \{a \in A : \alpha_t(a) = e(n \cdot t)a \forall t\}.$$

Then  $\bigoplus_n A_n$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , and

$$A^\infty = \left\{ \sum a_n : a_n \in A_n \text{ and } (\|a_n\|) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \right\}.$$

For  $\theta$  as above, and  $a, b \in A^\infty$  set

$$a \times_\theta b = \sum_{m,n} a_m b_n e(m \cdot \theta n).$$

Denote the resulting algebra by  $A_\theta^\infty$ .

For any covariant representation  $(\pi, U, \mathcal{H})$  of  $(A, \mathbb{T}^d, \alpha)$ , (so  $U_t \pi(a) U_t^* = \pi(\alpha_t(a))$ ) we have  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_n$  for  $U$ .

For  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\xi = (\xi_n)$ , set

$$\pi^\theta(a)\xi = \sum_{m,n} \pi(a_m) \xi_n e(m \cdot \theta n).$$

Then  $\pi^\theta$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A_\theta^\infty$ . If  $\pi$  is injective, then we have the  $C^*$ -norm

$\|a\|_\theta = \|\pi_\theta^\wedge(a)\|$ . Can show this norm is independent of  $(\pi, U, \mathcal{H})$ . The completion of  $A_\theta^\infty$  for this norm is the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\bar{A}_\theta$ .

Then  $\hbar \rightarrow \bar{A}_\theta^\hbar$  is a strict deformation quantization of  $A$  in the direction of the Poisson bracket from  $\theta$ . [R. 1993]

The algebras  $A_\theta$  share some properties with  $A$ . For example,  $K_*(A_\theta) \cong K_*(A)$ . [R. 1993] But the positive cones coming from actual “vector bundles”, i.e. projective modules, can be quite different.

For the case of a manifold  $M$  let  $\Omega^*(M)$  be its deRham complex. The action of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  on  $C^\infty(M)$  lifts to an action on  $\Omega^*(M)$  commuting with the exterior derivative  $d$ . So by the same techniques the exterior product on  $\Omega^*(M)$  can be deformed, but the exterior derivative  $d$  left unchanged, to get a complex  $\Omega^*(M_\theta)$  with  $\Omega^0(M) = C^\infty(M_\theta)$ . (It is not (graded) commutative in general.)

Lie groups can be deformed into quantum groups by our construction.

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group, and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \cong \mathbb{T}^d$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the action of  $H \times H$  on  $A = C(G)$  given by

$$(\alpha_{(s,t)}f)(x) = f(s^{-1}xt)$$

For  $\tilde{\theta}$  of size  $2d \times 2d$  we can form  $C(G_{\tilde{\theta}})$ . But this doesn't respect the coproduct

$$(\Delta f)(x, y) = f(xy),$$

unless  $\tilde{\theta}$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta \end{pmatrix}$ , which we assume. Then the corresponding Poisson bracket makes  $G$  into a Poisson Lie group, and one gets a quantum group,  $C(G_{\theta})$ , with unchanged coproduct, coidentity, and antipode. One also gets a quantum group structure on  $C^{\infty}(G_{\theta})$ .

[R. 1993]

[Connes and Landi 2001]

[Connes and Dubois-Violette 2002]:

They searched for universal non-commutative spaces  $A$  having a projective module given by a projection  $p \in M_4(A)$  with  $A$  generated by the entries of  $p$  and with reduced Chern classes  $ch_j(p) = 0$  for  $j = 0, 1$  as happens for the 4-sphere  $S^4$ . They were led to  $C(S^4_\theta)$ , using the action of a maximal torus in  $SO(5)$ .

More generally, they show:

Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian “spin-manifold” so that it has a vector bundle  $\mathcal{S}$  of spinors, and so has a Dirac operator  $D$  acting on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a smooth action of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  by isometries of  $M$ . Then  $\alpha$  lifts to a projective representation on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$  which commutes with  $D$ .



Consequently, one can twist the action on  $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{S})$  so that it becomes a  $C^\infty(M_\theta)$  projective module,  $C^\infty(M_\theta, \mathcal{S})$ , with  $D$  still defined on it, and with completion still  $L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$ . We thus obtain a Dirac operator for  $C(M_\theta)$ .

Connes' view: The way to define a "non-commutative Riemannian manifold" is by means of a "spectral geometry"  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$  satisfying suitable axioms.

These axioms are satisfied for  $A = C(M_\theta)$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$ , and  $D$ .

One recovers the "real" structure by means of the "charge conjugation operator"  $J$  on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{S})$ , twisted appropriately.

The Hodge operator  $*$  on  $\Omega^*(M)$  from the Riemannian metric can be twisted to give a Hodge operator  $*_\theta$  on  $\Omega^*(M_\theta)$ .

[Varilly 2001] :

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group, with closed subgroups  $K \supset H$  with  $H \cong \mathbb{T}^d$ . Then for  $\theta$  as before we can form  $C((G/K)_\theta)$  and the quantum group  $C(G_\theta)$ , and for appropriate definitions,  $C(G_\theta)$  “acts” on  $C((G/K)_\theta)$ , and  $C((G/K)_\theta)$  is a “homogeneous subspace” of  $C(G_\theta)$ .

In this way one finds that  $C((SO(5))_\theta)$  acts on  $C(S_\theta^4)$ , and  $C(S_\theta^4)$  is a homogeneous space of  $C((SO(5))_\theta)$ .

Furthermore, the action of  $C((SO(5))_\theta)$  is by “isometries” for the “Riemannian structure” on  $C(S_\theta^4)$  given by the Dirac operator  $(D, L^2(M_\theta, \mathcal{S}))$ .

[Sitarz, 2001]:

Let  $G$ ,  $K$  and  $H$  be as above, and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , with universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is a Hopf algebra. Then the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  has an “action” on the algebra  $C^\infty(G/K)$ .

By a Drinfeld twist using  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$  one can deform  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  to a Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(\mathfrak{g})$ . Only the coalgebra structure is deformed — the product is unchanged. Then  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on  $C^\infty((G/K)_\theta)$ .

If  $G/K$  has a Dirac operator as above, so that  $(G/K)_\theta$  does too, then this is compatible with the action of  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(\mathfrak{so}(5))$  acts on  $C^\infty(S_\theta^4)$ , in a way compatible with the Dirac operator for  $C^\infty(S_\theta^4)$ .

[Landi and van Suijlekom 2006]:

They study Yang-Mills for  $C(S_\theta^4)$ .

Classically there is an action of  $SU(2)$  on  $S^7$  with quotient  $S^4$  (a Hopf fibration). This action commutes with an action of  $Spin(5)$  on  $S^7$ . Then any f.d. representation of  $SU(2)$  “induces” a vector bundle on  $S^4$  which is  $Spin(5)$ -equivariant. For the representation of  $SU(2)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  one gets the classical “instanton” bundle on  $S^4$  having interesting Yang-Mills minima.

Similarly, for a  $\theta'$  determined by  $\theta$ , there is an action of (ordinary)  $SU(2)$  on  $C(S_{\theta'}^7)$  such that the fixed-point algebra is exactly  $C(S_\theta^4)$ . For each representation of  $SU(2)$  get a projective module over  $C(S_\theta^4)$ . For the 2-d representation get the “quantized instanton bundle”, say  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(\mathfrak{so}(5))$  acts on  $C^\infty(S_\theta^4)$  and  $C^\infty(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  is equivariant for this infinitesimal action.

One can look for connections on  $\mathcal{E}$ , that is, maps

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \Omega^1(S_\theta^4)$$

(for  $A = C^\infty(S_\theta^4)$ ) satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(\xi\omega) = (\nabla\xi)\omega + \xi \otimes d\omega.$$

Then  $\nabla$  extends to

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \Omega^p(S_\theta^4) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \Omega^{p+1}(S_\theta^4).$$

The curvature of  $\nabla$  is  $F = \nabla^2$ , and it is determined by

$$\nabla^2 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \Omega^2(S_\theta^4).$$

On  $\mathcal{E}$  have a natural Hermitian metric

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A \in A = C(S_\theta^4),$$

which extends to one on  $\Omega^*(S_\theta^4)$ . We say that a connection  $\nabla$  is compatible with this Hermitian metric if it satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$d(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A) = \langle \nabla \xi, \eta \rangle_A + (-1)^{|\xi|} \langle \xi, \nabla \eta \rangle_A.$$

We let  $CC(\mathcal{E})$  denote the set of compatible connections on  $\mathcal{E}$  for its Hermitian metric.

From the usual round Riemannian metric on  $S^4$ , for which  $SO(5)$  acts by isometries, we get the deformed Hodge  $*_\theta$  on  $\Omega^*(S^4_\theta)$ .

For any  $\nabla \in CC(\mathcal{E})$  with curvature  $F$  set

$$YM(\nabla) = \int *_\theta \operatorname{tr}(F *_\theta F)$$

where  $\operatorname{tr}$  is the  $A$ -valued trace on  $\operatorname{End}_A(\mathcal{E})$  coming from the Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G} = U\operatorname{End}_A(\mathcal{E})$ , the group of unitary elements of  $\operatorname{End}_A(\mathcal{E})$ . It is the “gauge group” for  $\mathcal{E}$ , and acts on  $CC(\mathcal{E})$  by conjugation. The functional  $YM$  on  $CC(\mathcal{E})$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

The set of minima of  $YM$  is carried into itself by the action of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and the set of  $\mathcal{G}$ -orbits in the set of minima is the “moduli space” of minima.

The conformal Lie algebra  $so(5,1)$  acts on  $C^\infty(S^4)$ , while  $SO(5)$ , and so  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , act by Ad on  $so(5,1)$ . Thus we can form  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(so(5,1))$ , and it acts on  $C^\infty(S_\theta^4)$  and on  $\Omega^*(S_\theta^4)$ , leaving the Hodge structure invariant. It also acts on  $C^\infty(S_{\theta'}^7)$ , and so infinitesimally on  $CC(\mathcal{E})$ .

There is a canonical connection,  $\nabla^0$ , coming from the construction of  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is a minima for  $YM$ .

Landi and van S. use the action of  $\mathcal{U}_\theta(so(5,1))$  to show that the tangent space at  $\nabla^0$  in the moduli space of minima for  $YM$  is exactly 5-dimensional.



Connes: Any spectral geometry  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$  can be viewed as a “non-commutative metric space”. The Lipschitz seminorm,  $L$ , on  $A$  is defined by

$$L(a) = \|[D, a]\|.$$

Let  $S(A)$  denote the state-space of  $A$ , consisting of the positive linear functionals on  $A$  of norm 1 (the “non-commutative probability measures”). Define a metric,  $\rho_L$  on  $S(A)$  by

$$\rho_L(\mu, \nu) = \sup\{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)| : L(a) \leq 1\}.$$

Me: Want (\*) The topology on  $S(A)$  from  $\rho_L$  should agree with the weak-\* topology.

Then can define a quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

[Hanfeng Li, 2005] For  $L$  on  $C^\infty(M_\theta)$  coming from  $D$  the property (\*) holds. Furthermore,

$$\text{dist}_{qGH}(M_{\theta_1}, M_{\theta_2})$$

is continuous in  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ .